11 Publication number:

**0 338 452** A1

(2)

## EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

2: Application number: 89106729.0

(1) Int. Cl.4: A21D 8/04

2 Date of filing: 14.04.89

Priority: 22.04.88 FI 881905 03.01.89 FI 890021

- ① Date of publication of application: 25.10.89 Bulletin 89/43
- Designated Contracting States: AT BE CH DE ES FR GB GR IT LI LU NL SE

Applicant: Cultor Ltd. Kyllikinportti 2 SF-00240 Helsinki(FI)

2 Inventor: Haarasilta, Sampsa Louhutie 9 B SF-04230 Kerava(FI) Inventor: Pullinen, Timo Pikkukuja 1 SF-01400 Vantaa(FI)

Inventor: Väisänen, Seppo Terhotie 10

SF-04260 Kerava(FI)
Inventor: Tammersalo-Karsten, Ina

Iltaruskontie 2 A SF-02120 Espoo(FI)

Representative: Andrejewski, Walter et al Patentanwälte Andrejewski, Honke & Partner Postfach 10 02 54 Theaterplatz 3 D-4300 Essen 1(DE)

- A method of improving the properties of dough and the quality of bread.
- The invention relates to a method of improving the properties of dough and the quality of bread by adding to the dough, dough ingredients, ingredient mixture or dough additives or additive mixture an enzyme preparation comprising hemicellulose and/or cellulose degrading enzymes and glucose oxidase, or sulphydryl oxidase and glucose oxidase, the enzyme preparation being preferably used in combination with lecithin. The enzyme preparation of the invention has an advantageous effect on the processability of the dough and the properties of the final bakery product. The combination of the enzyme preparation of the invention and lecithin can advantageously replace bromate conventionally used as a baking additive.

## A method of improving the properties of dough and the quality of bread

The invention relates to a method of improving the properties of flour dough and the quality of a finished bakery product, wherein an enzyme preparation comprising hemicellulose and/or cellulose degrading enzymes and glucose oxidase, or sulphydryl oxidase and glucose oxidase, is added to the flour or to the dough. The enzyme composition of the invention enables the use of weak flour, whereby the dough has not only a good process tolerance (advantageous rheological properties during the bread making process) but also a good oven spring and the final product will possess an improved grain structure and increased bread volume. The enzyme composition of the invention can partially or fully replace conventional emulsifiers used as baking additives. Furthermore, the enzyme composition can replace bromate used in bread as a baking additive, though accepted only in a few countries, especially when the enzyme composition is used in combination with a conventional emulsifier, lecithin.

Cellulases/hemicellulases cleave non-starch polysaccharides contained in flour. This affects the water retention and water binding capacity, viscosity, and proofing (rising) capacity of the dough as well as the texture, aroma, taste and freshness of the bread.

Generally speaking, the use of cellulases/hemicellulases gives an improved oven spring to the dough and an improved bread volume, grain structure and anti-staling properties to the finished bakery product. However, the dough may become too slack and stickier, which may cause problems. It is thereby necessary to use dosages too low for an optimum baking result to be achieved, so that the enzymes in question cannot be utilized to the full extent. At low dose levels, cellulases/hemicellulases make the mechanical handling of the dough easier whereas the effect of cellulases/hemicellulases on the process tolerance, for instance, may be insufficient when used alone, wherefore emulsifiers have to be used as additives.

It has been found that the addition of glucose oxidase (GO) and sulphydryl oxidase (SHX) strengthens the dough. Flour having a low protein content is usually classified as weak. The gluten of weak flour (the extensible, rubbery mass formed when mixing flour with water) is very extensible under stress but does not return to its original dimensions when the stress is removed. Flour with a high protein content is classified as strong. The gluten of strong flour is less extensible than that of weak flour. It is more resistant to mixing.

Strong flour is often preferred for baking purposes, since the rheological and handling properties of a dough prepared from such flour are superior to those obtained with weak flour. In addition, the shape and texture of a bakery product prepared from strong flour are remarkably better as compared with weak flour.

A dough prepared from strong flour is also more stable as compared with that prepared from weak flour. This is one of the most important - if not the most important - properties in view of the baking process.

The stability of dough (process tolerance) can be improved by glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase; however, the bread volume of the product obtained with these enzymes is not generally sufficiently good and the texture is not sufficiently good (velvety).

In addition to those mentioned above, enzymes affecting baking further include amylases and pro teases. Amylases produce sugars for yeast food (from damaged starch, for instance). Alpha-amylase breaks down such starch into dextrines which are further broken down by beta-amylases into maltose. Due to this, an increased amount of gas is produced by the yeast, which increases the bread volume. At the same time, the increased formation of dextrines and maltose improves the crust colour, aroma and taste of the final product. Furthermore, alpha-amylase retards the chemical ageing of bread (staling of the bread crumb). Protease, in turn, break down flour proteins, resulting in a more stretchy dough. The dough "matures" more rapidly whereby the need of mixing and the fermentation times of the dough can be decreased; due to the better baking properties, the gas retention of the dough, and the volume and grain structure of the bread are improved.

It has been known for a long time to use so called bread improvers in the preparation of dough. The function of such bread improvers, including emulsifiers, unspecific oxidants (such as ascorbic acid (dehydroascorbic acid), potassium bromate, peroxides, iodates, etc.) etc., is to form inter-protein bonds which strengthen the dough.

Emulsifiers used in baking have many effects, such as retardation of chemical ageing, strengthening of gluten and an even emulsification of fat through the dough. Conventional emulsifiers used in baking include monoglycerides, diacetyl tartaric acid esters of mono- and diglycerides of fatty acids, and lecithins. Lecithin used in baking is normally obtained from soya. Lecithin may be in many different product forms, such as raw lecithin, de-oiled lecithin, or a carrier spray-dried lecithin, fractionated lecithin, chemically modified and enzymatically modified lecithin. Lecithin is a mixture of different phospholipides, the composition of which is

variable. Furthermore, the different product types and commercial products behave in different ways in baking applications. Normally the lecithin content of commercial products is specified as acetone insoluble material (AI). Following commercial product examples from Lucas Meyer. Hamburg, Germany, illustrate the range of products: Emulpur N (de-oiled), phospholipide content min 95%; Lecimulthin M-035 (spray-dried), phospholipide content appr. 28.0%. In addition to its emulsifying effect, lecithin improves the baking properties of the other baking ingredients, increases bread volume, improves anti-staling properties and has a favourable effect on the crumb and crust texture.

Many commonly used bread improvers have disadvantageous effects; in particular, they may have negative organoleptic effects on the final bakery product. On the other hand, the use of bromate, e.g., is not accepted in many countries.

From the consumer's point of view, it is advantageous to minimize the use of the above-mentioned chemical additives.

U.S. Patent Specification 2,783,150 discloses a method of treating flours with glucose oxidase enzyme for improving the dough formation and baking properties. This results in improved dough strength, improved dough handling properties, and improved texture and appearance of the baked product. The use of glucose oxidase in combination with ascorbic acid is recited as particularly advantageous.

Japanese Patent Specification 5701/1968 discloses a method of improving the quality of bread by the addition of an enzyme composition containing cellulase and/or hemicellulase to the dough. It is empha sized in the patent specification that the addition of this enzyme composition causes decomposition of insoluble fibrous components contained in flour, such as cellulose and pentosan which as such would considerably deteriorate the quality of bread by rendering the dough non-homogeneous and by preventing the formation of gluten. It is recited that the bread product so obtained has an increased volume, more uniform grain structure and slower ageing during storage.

U.S. Patent Application 136,003, filed in December 1987, describes the use of an enzyme preparation containing glucose oxidase and microbiological sulphydryl oxidase for increasing the strength of a dough prepared from flour, water and yeast. Such an enzyme preparation is recited to improve the rheological properties of the dough and, in particular, to improve the stability of the dough.

The combination of glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase has also been shown to dry the surface of dough, which improves the machinability of the dough.

It has now been unexpectedly found that the combined use of hemicellulase/cellulase and glucose oxidase enzymes, or glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase enzymes has a complementary synergistic effect, so that the processability and process tolerance, oven spring, volume and texture are clearly better than what could be expected when using each one of these enzymes alone.

The invention relates to a method of improving the rheological properties of flour dough and the properties of the final bakery product by adding to the dough an effective amount of an enzyme preparation containing hemicellulase and/or cellulase and glucose oxidase, or glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase. By the use of this enzyme composition, a dough prepared from weak flour will have the typical advantageous properties of a dough prepared from strong flour (advantageous rheological properties and "good gluten properties", handling properties and tolerance in a mechanized industrial bread making process) while the final bakery product keeps its desired shape, has good volume, good grain structure and good organoleptic properties. The enzyme composition of the invention can also either partially or fully replace conventional bread improvers classified as additives (e.g. emulsifiers). The surface of a dough containing the enzyme preparation of the invention remains dry, which is an important factor in industrial processes.

The dough is prepared by mixing together flour, water, yeast, the enzyme composition of the invention and other possible ingredients and additives. The enzyme preparation can be added together with any dough ingredient or ingredient mixture or any additive or additive mixture, except strong chemicals which inactivate the enzymes. The dough can be prepared by any dough preparation process common in the baking industry, such as a normal straight dough process, a sour dough process, the Chorleywood Bread Process, and the Sponge and Dough process. Wheat flour is preferably used but it is also possible to use, e.g., rye flours and other flours and their mixtures. The enzyme preparation of the invention can also be used in the preparation of dry grain products, such as ryecrisp and rusk.

The enzyme preparation comprises about 0-50,000 units, preferably 10-10,000 units of hemicellulolytic activity (calculated as xylanase units); about 0-50,000 units, preferably 10-10,000 units of cellulolytic activity (calculated as carboxymethyl cellulase units); about 5-2,500, preferably 35-1,000 units of glucose oxidase; and about 0-800, preferably 0-300 units of sulphydryl oxidase calculated per kg of flour (the enzyme units will be defined later). The preferred amounts of enzymes depend on the process used, the process conditions, and the ingredients. An example of an enzyme preparation useful in direct baking would be as

follows: 300 units of hemicellulase, 100 units of cellulase, 300 units of glucose oxidase, and 1 unit of suiphydryl oxidase per kg of flours. Enzyme preparations useful in Chorleywood baking include a preparation containing about 2,000 units of hemicellulase, about 700 units of cellulase, about 650 units of glucose oxidase, and about 2.5 units of sulphydryl oxidase.

Any method known from the prior art can be used in the preparation of the enzymes. Hemicellulolytic and cellulolytic enzymes can be prepared microbiologically by means of fungi or bacteria, e.g., molds beionging to the Trichoderma, Aspergillus or Penicillium genus, in a manner known per se. Sulphydryl oxidase and glucose oxidase can be prepared microbiologically by means of fungi and bacteria, e.g., molds belonging to the Aspergillus or Penicillium genus.

The hemicellulolytic and cellulolytic activities of the enzyme preparations of the invention are defined as xylanase(Xyl.), carboxymethyl cellulase(CMC) and/or filter paper(FP) activities.

The definitions of the different enzyme activities and the methods of defining the enzyme activities are set forth below:

Xylanase activity (Khan A.W. et al., Enzyme Microb. Technol. 8 (1986) 373-377):

15

25

35

**-:**5

50

1 ml of a suitably diluted enzyme solution in acetate buffer (0.05 M NaAc, pH 5.3) is tempered at 50 °C. 1 ml of xylan substrate (1% xylan, 0.05 M NaAc, pH 5.3) is added. The sample is incubated for 30 min at 50 °C. The reaction is stopped by adding 3 ml of DNS reagent (3,5-dinitrosalicylate), and the colour is developed by boiling the sample mixture for 5 min. The absorbance is measured at 540 nm. One enzyme unit liberates 1 micromole of reducing sugars per one minute under assay conditions, calculated as glucose.

Filter paper activity (Ghose T.K. et al., Symposium of Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Cellulose, Bailey M., Enari T.M., Linko M., Eds. (SITRA, Aulanko, Finland, 1975), p. 111-136):

A piece of filter paper (Whatman 1, 50 mg) is added to 1 ml of acetate buffer (0.05 M NaAc, pH 4.8). 1 ml of suitably diluted enzyme solution is added. The solution is incubated for 1 h at 50 °C. The reaction is stepped by adding 3 ml of DNS reagent, and the colour is developed and measured similarly as in the cylanase determination. One activity unit liberates 1 micromole of reducing sugars per one minute under assay conditions, calculated as glucose.

Carboxymethyl cellulase activity (Mandels M., Weber J., Adv. Chem Ser. 95 (1969) 391-413):

1 ml of suitably diluted enzyme solution in acetate buffer (0.05 M NaAc, pH 4.8) and 1 ml of CMC substrate (1% CMC, 0.05 M NaAc, pH 4.8) are mixed together. The solution is incubated for 10 min at 50 °C. The reaction is stopped by adding 3 ml of DNS reagent. One enzyme unit liberates 1 micromole of reducing sugars calculated as glucose per one minute, under assay conditions.

Sulpnydryl oxidase activity (Young J. and Nimmo I., Biochem. J. 130 (1972) 33):

One sulphydryl oxidase unit is equal to an enzyme amount required for depleting 1 micromole of  $O_2$  per one minute from a test mixture containing 8 mmol of GSH (reduced glutathione) and 40 mmol of sodium acetate (pH 5.5) at 25 $^{\circ}$  C.

Glucose oxidase activity (Scott D., J. Agr. Food. Chem. 1 (1953) 727):

3 units of glucose oxidase yields 1 ml of 0.05 N gluconic acid.

The enzyme preparation of the invention may contain cellulases and/or hemicellulases functioning both with endo- and exomechanisms. In addition to these enzyme activities, the enzyme preparation to be used according to the invention may contain substantial amounts e.g. of the following enzyme activities: beta-glucosidase, beta-xylosidase, acetyl esterase, arabinase, mannanase, galactomannanase, pectinase, alpha-arabinosidase, alpha-aflucuronidase, alpha-amylase, beta-amylase, glucoamylase and protease.

## Example 1 (pan bread, white bread dough)

Baking tests were carried out in which two different types of enzyme preparations containing hemicellulolytic and cellulolytic activity (preparations A and B), enzyme preparation containing glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase (preparation C), and enzyme preparation of the invention containing cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic activity and glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase (preparation D) were added to a pan bread dough.

The enzyme activities of the enzyme preparations to be tested appear from the following Table 1, whereby xylanase(Xyl.), carboxymethyl cellulase(CMC) and filter paper(FP) activities are descriptive of the hemicellulolytic and cellulolytic activity of the enzyme preparations (preparations A and B). Preparation C contains glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase, and preparation D of the invention contains glu cose oxidase (GO) and sulphydryl oxidase (SHX) in addition to the above-mentioned cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic activities.

Table 1

15

20

25

| Enzymes to be tested   |  |                                  |                          |                      |                          |                          |  |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Preparation  | Dosage<br>mg/kg of flour                       | Enzyme activity U/kg of flour    |                          |                      | ur                       |                          |  |
| ·  |  | Xyl.                             | CMC                      | FP                   | GO                       | SHX                      |  |
| A. Control 1<br>(cellulase +<br>hemicellulase)                                   | 1. 12.95<br>2. 25.90<br>3. 37.00<br>4. 74.00   | 350<br>700<br>1,000<br>2,000     | 120<br>240<br>340<br>680 | 5<br>10<br>14<br>28  | •                        | -<br>-<br>-              |  |
| B. Control 2<br>(cellulase +<br>hemicellulase)                                   | 1. 40<br>2. 80<br>3. 160                       | 20<br>40<br>80                   | 165<br>330<br>660        | 14<br>29<br>58       | -<br>-                   | -<br>-                   |  |
| C. Glucose oxidase<br>+ sulphydryl<br>oxidase                                    | 1. 0.8<br>2. 2.4<br>3. 4.8                     | -<br>-<br>-                      | -                        | -<br>-               | 100<br>300<br>600        | 0.4<br>1.2<br>2.4        |  |
| D. Combination: cellulase + hemicellulase + glucose oxidase + sulphydryl oxidase | 1. 37/2.5<br>2. 37/5<br>3. 74/2.5<br>4. 74/5.0 | 1,000<br>1,000<br>2,000<br>2,000 | 340<br>340<br>680<br>680 | 14<br>14<br>28<br>28 | 320<br>645<br>320<br>645 | 1.3<br>2.6<br>1.3<br>2.6 |  |

30

35

Flour used in the test bakes possessed the following properties:

**45** 

| Moisture (%)                                    | 14.7 |
|---|------|
| Protein content (Kjeldahl) (%)                  | 11.3 |
| Concentration of damaged starch (Farrand units) | 28   |
| Alpha-amylase content (Farrand units) 2         |      |
| Colour of flour                                 | 3.3  |
| Falling number (5 g)                            | 218  |
| Water binding in 10 min (% on flour)            | 58.6 |

50

Composition of the dough in the test bakes was as follows (amounts are percentages on the amount of flour):

55

5

<u>...</u> --

| Flour                          | 100    |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Yeast                          | 2.1    |
| Salt                           | 1.8    |
| Fat                            | 0.7    |
| Water                          | 58.6   |
| Ascorbic acid                  | 0.003  |
| Potassium bromate              | 0.0045 |
| Enzyme additions (see Table 1) |        |
|                                |        |

10

5

Flour, salt, ascorbic acid and bromate were weighed and stored at constant temperature (21 °C) overnight. Each enzyme preparation was dissolved in water at a desired concentration before each test series. A dough was prepared by the Chorleywood Bread Process, whereby each dough batch contained 1.400 g of flour. The flour was first introduced into a mixing bowl, whereafter the other dry ingredients were added. The enzyme solution was dispersed through the dough water, and the resultant solution was added to the dough. The dough was prepared as follows: mixing (Morton Kopp mixing device, mixing speed 300 rev.min), scaling and first moulding, first proof (10 min), final moulding, final proof at 43 °C (proof height 10 cm), and baking at 230 °C for 25 min. Thereafter the loaves were allowed to cool, and they were stored overnight in a closed space at constant temperature (21 °C), whereafter the bread volume was determined by the rapeseed displacement, and other desired properties were determined.

The obtained results appear from Table 2 for the enzyme preparations A, B, C and D. The following properties are given in the different columns:

m = amount of added enzyme preparation (mg/kg of flour)

K = dough consistency (subjective assessment)

t = proof time (min) ( = time taken by the dough to reach a height of 10 cm in the pan)

n = oven spring (cm) (= difference between the heights of unbaked and final baked loaf)

V = bread volume (ml) determined by rapeseed displacement

 $\Delta V$  = change (%) in bread volume with respect to control

R = crumb score (from 1 to 10, the greater the value, the better the structure)

Each baking test was carried out as a parallel test in triplicate, and the evaluation of the loaves is given as the mean value of the results obtained for 3x4 loaves (same enzyme, same concentration).

35

-0

**-**:5

50

Table 2

| Enzyme preparation             | m  | К   | ŧ                          | h                               | ٧                                    | ΔV                           | R                               |
|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| A (comparison)                 | Control<br>12.95<br>25.9<br>37.0<br>74.0 | good<br>good<br>good<br>good<br>very good           | 50<br>49<br>50<br>50<br>51 | 1.8<br>2.0<br>2.0<br>2.2<br>2.3 | 1349<br>1363<br>1375<br>1415<br>1434 | +1.0<br>+1.9<br>+4.9<br>+6.3 | 8<br>8<br>8<br>7.3<br>7.6       |
| B (comparison)                 | Control<br>40<br>80<br>160               | good<br>smooth*<br>smooth*<br>very good             | 50<br>50<br>49<br>50       | 1.8<br>2.2<br>2.0<br>2.2        | 1349<br>1399<br>1386<br>1424         | +3.7<br>+2.7<br>+5.6         | 8<br>8.3<br>8.3<br>8.6          |
| C (comparison)                 | Control<br>0.8<br>2.4<br>4.8             | good<br>good<br>good<br>very good                   | 47<br>47<br>47<br>46       | 1.6<br>1.5<br>1.5<br>1.8        | 1332<br>1321<br>1306<br>1334         | -0.8<br>-2.0<br>+0.2         | 7.3<br>7.3<br>7.6<br>7.6        |
| D (according to the invention) | Control<br>39.5<br>42.0<br>76.5<br>79.0  | good<br>good<br>relaxed<br>extensible<br>extensible | 47<br>45<br>47<br>46<br>45 | 1.6<br>2.5<br>2.3<br>2.1<br>2.5 | 1332<br>1443<br>1443<br>1449<br>1441 | +8.3<br>+8.3<br>+8.8<br>+8.2 | 7.3<br>7.7<br>7.7<br>7.7<br>8.3 |

<sup>&</sup>quot;) machinability of the dough improved

5

10

15

20

25

30

40

It appears from the results that the preparation D of the invention, containing cellulose and hemicellulose degrading enzymes and glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase enzymes, improves the handling properties of the dough (improved relaxation and elasticity) as compared with the comparison preparations, which contain either cellulolytic and/or hemicellulolytic activity (preparations A and B) or glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase (preparation C). In addition, the bread prepared according to the invention has improved oven spring, volume and texture.

### Example 2 (hearth white bread)

Baking tests were carried out by adding enzyme preparations C and D described in Example 1 to a bread dough, of which the latter preparation was the enzyme composition of the invention while the former contained glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase. The enzyme activities and dosage of the tested enzyme preparations were the same as in Example 1. The composition of the dough used was the same as that of the pan bread dough of Example 1, except that it contained less water (55.0% on the amount of flour). The ingredients were pre-treated similarly as in Example 1, and dough batches of 5,000 g and 2,500 g were prepared for enzyme preparation C and enzyme preparation D, respectively, using the Chorleywood Bread Process. The enzyme solution was dispersed through the dough water, and the water was introduced into a mixing bowl. Then the flour and other dry ingredients were added. The dough was prepared as follows: mixing (Tweedy 35 mixing apparatus, 450 rev/min), scaling, first moulding, first proofing (6 min), second moulding, final proofing at 40° C (proof times 50, 70 and 90 min) at 70% humidity and baking at 244° C for 25 min. Then the loaves were allowed to cool, and they were stored overnight in a closed space at constant temperature (21° C), whereafter bread volume was determined by rapeseed displacement, and the height and width of the bread were measured. Further, change (%) in bread volume was determined as compared with the control. The results appear from the following Table 3.

<sup>&</sup>quot;) the dough becomes stretchy with time, i.e., the gluten properties are improved so that the dough is easier to handle

<sup>-)</sup> elastic, slacker dough

Table 3

| 5  | Enzyme<br>prep. | Dosage<br>(mg/kg)                       | Mixing<br>time<br>(s)          | Vol.(ml) + vol. change (%) in rel. to control with different proof times* |  |  | Height (cm)                     |                                 | :m)                             | Width (cm)                           |                                      |                                      |
|----|-----------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|    |                 |   |                                | 50  | 70   | 90   | 50                              | 70                              | 90                              | 50                                   | 70                                   | 90                                   |
| 10 | С               | Control<br>0.8<br>2.4<br>4.8            | 93<br>102<br>96<br>101         | 1121<br>1161 + 3.6<br>1112 - 0.8<br>1143 + 2.0                            | 1110<br>1071-3.6<br>1160 + 4.5<br>1101-0.8                       | 1093<br>1222+11.8<br>1214+11.1<br>1244+13.8                      | 8.0<br>8.2<br>8.5<br>8.2        | 7.2<br>7.5<br>8.6<br>8.1        | 6.8<br>7.3<br>7.3<br>7.8        | 10.9<br>10.7<br>10.3<br>10.4         | 11.9<br>10.7<br>10.5<br>10.4         | 12.2<br>12.3<br>12.5<br>12.1         |
| 15 | D               | Control<br>39.5<br>42.0<br>76.5<br>79.0 | 96<br>107<br>105<br>108<br>104 | 1164<br>1295 + 11.3<br>1286 + 10.5<br>1249 + 7.3<br>1300 + 11.7           | 1108<br>1482 + 33.8<br>1509 + 36.2<br>1508 + 36.1<br>1505 + 35.8 | 1168<br>1355 + 16.0<br>1425 + 22.0<br>1558 + 33.4<br>1529 + 30.9 | 8.5<br>7.9<br>7.6<br>7.6<br>7.8 | 7.8<br>7.6<br>8.2<br>8.0<br>8.2 | 6.8<br>6.6<br>6.5<br>7.5<br>9.3 | 10.9<br>11.7<br>11.6<br>11.3<br>12.1 | 11.5<br>12.9<br>12.5<br>12.8<br>12.5 | 13.0<br>13.8<br>13.5<br>13.9<br>13.3 |

<sup>\*</sup> Proof times used were 50, 70 and 90 min.

20

it appears from the results that the effect of the enzyme composition preparation D of the invention on bread volume, for instance, is more favourable than that of the preparation C containing glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase. In addition, bread prepared according to the invention maintained its shape even with long proof times whereas the control loaves showed a tendency to "flatten out".

25

### Example 3 (hearth white bread)

In addition to those mentioned above, baking tests were carried out to study the replacement of emulsifiers used in bread improvers and classified as additives with enzyme preparations of the invention containing cellulolytic and/or hemicellulolytic enzyme activity and glucose oxidase. The analysis of the flour used in the baking trials gave the following results: moisture 14.8%, falling number 262, colour 3.7, gluten 26.0%, ash 0.77% (on dry basis), and swelling number 20 (ascorbic acid 15 ppm). The enzyme activities of the used enzyme preparations of the invention are shown in the following Table 4.

35

Table 4

<u>-10</u>

**-:**5

50

| Preparation | Dosage<br>mg/kg of flour | Enzyme activity U/kg of flour |      |     |      |  |
|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------|-----|------|--|
|             |                          | Xyl.                          | CMC  | FP  | GO   |  |
| 1           | 6                        | 100                           | 34   | 1.4 | 260  |  |
| 2           | 10                       | 200                           | 68   | 2.8 | 260  |  |
| 3           | 17                       | 400                           | 136  | 5.6 | 260  |  |
| 4           | 21                       | 500                           | 170  | 7.0 | 260  |  |
| 5           | 8                        | 100                           | · 34 | 1.4 | 530  |  |
| 6           | 12                       | 200                           | 68   | 2.8 | 530  |  |
| 7           | 19                       | 400                           | 136  | 5.6 | 530  |  |
| 8           | 23                       | 500                           | 170  | 7.0 | 530  |  |
| 9           | 12                       | 100                           | 34   | 1.4 | 1050 |  |
| 10          | 23                       | 400                           | 136  | 5.6 | 1050 |  |
|             |                          |                               |      |     |      |  |

The bread improver used in the tests contained bread improver base and 8% emulsifier (diacetyl tartaric acid esters of the mono- and diglycerides of fatty acids), and its analysis gave the following results:

| Alpha-amylase | 12 U/g        |
|---------------|---------------|
| Xylanase      | 18 U/g        |
| CMC           | 5 U/g         |
| FP            | 2 U/g         |
| Ascorbic acid | 0.9 mg/g      |
| Fat           | 38% by weight |
|               |               |

In the test, the emulsifier of the bread improver (diacetyl tartaric acid esters of the mono-and diglycerides of fatty acids) was replaced with the enzyme preparation of the invention by adding it to the dough together with the improver base.

The baking conditions were as follows:

### 15 1) Formula

20

30

35

Wheat flour, medium coarse (g) 1700
Yeast (g) 50
Salt (g) 28
Water (g) 1000

25 2) Process

Mixing 6 min
Dough temperature 27 ° C
Floor time 1 45 min
Floor time 2
Scaling weight 400 g
Transfer into pans
Proof 40-45 min
Baking 20 min/220 ° C

The amounts of the added enzyme, bread improver and improver base appear from the following Table 5 showing the test results. 1.94% of the improver base was added to all doughs prepared with the enzyme com position of the invention. In each bake, a dough containing 2% of bread improver and a zero dough with no additives were used as a control.

The consistency of the doughs was measured by means of a pharinograph after kneading and proofing. The loaves were also measured for their height, width, specific volume, and softness.

50

45

55

9

,...

Table 5

| Sample         | Bread<br>improver<br>(g/kg) | Improv.<br>base<br>(g/kg) | consi           | ugh<br>stency<br>U) | Bread<br>height/width | Specific<br>bread vol.<br>(l/kg) | Bread softness<br>(penetrometer<br>units) |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
|                |                             |                           | after<br>mixing | after<br>proofing   |                       |                                  |   |
| No additives   | -                           |                           | 375             | 328                 | 54                    | 3.85                             | 76  |
| Bread improver | 20                          |                           | 358             | 305                 | 59                    | 4.64                             | 117                                       |
| 1              | -                           | 19.4                      | -               | -                   | 65                    | 4.47                             | 102                                       |
| 2              |                             | 19.4                      | -               |                     | 60                    | 4.37                             | 97  |
| 3              | -                           | 19.4                      | -               | -                   | 58                    | 4.39                             | 106                                       |
| 4              | -                           | 19.4                      | -               | -                   | 60                    | 4.61                             | 111                                       |
| 5              |                             | 19.4                      | 390             | 350                 | 59                    | 4.49                             | 109                                       |
| 6              | _                           | 19.4                      | 410             | 350                 | 61                    | 4.90                             | 116                                       |
| 7              | -                           | 19.4                      | 400             | 320                 | 59                    | 5.06                             | 120                                       |
| 8              | -                           | 19.4                      | 400             | 330                 | 57                    | 4.83                             | 113                                       |
| 9              |                             | 19.4                      | 380             | 330                 | 62                    | 4.24                             | 102                                       |
| 10             | _                           | 19.4                      | 380             | 330                 | 59                    | 4.24                             | 93  |

The enzyme composition of the invention made the dough harder than the bread improver, and it increased the mixing resistance of the dough and improved its proof tolerance as compared with the bread improver.

By means of the enzyme composition of the invention, white wheat bread could obtain a specific volume equal to or greater than that obtained by the bread improver. With the enzyme addition, the specific volume of the bread was at best about 9% greater than the specific volume of a corresponding bread containing bread improver and 31% greater than the volume of a product prepared without additives.

Loaves prepared with the enzyme composition of the invention were as soft as or slightly softer than those prepared with the bread improver and markedly softer than those prepared without additives. Loaves prepared with the bread improver showed a tendency to crack at the bottom.

#### Example 4

25

**∹**5

50

Bakery scale baking tests were carried out by adding to a white bread dough one enzyme composition which contained the three preparations with the enzyme activities mentioned in Table 6 (the qualities of the flour were identical with those in Example 3)

| 0 9108 1  |                |       |     |                       |            |     |
|---|----------------|-------|-----|-----------------------|------------|-----|
|   |                | 7     | 400 | Add on seriming 11/kn | ivities 11 | چ   |
| Enzyme preparation  | Dosage         |       |     | באווום מכו            |            |     |
|   | mg/kg of flour |       |     |                       |            |     |
|   |                |       | 윤   | XVI. FP CMC GO SHX    | 90         | SHX |
|   |                |       |     |                       |            |     |
|   | ¥              | 175 3 | ٣.  | 9                     |            |     |
| + Collider Demicellules   | ١              | :     | ,   |                       |            |     |
| i. Cululasonium   |                |       |     |                       |            |     |
| o read states amulase ("Sat-Conc 90 000" manuf. Shin Nihon, Japan | 2              |       |     |                       |            |     |
| 2. Fungal apria-annylaso ( od cons. co co                         |                |       |     |                       | 500        | ٥   |
| eschivo habidahahana  | 4              |       |     |                       | 3          | ,   |
| 3. Giucose oxidase/sulpriyory/ oxidase                            |                |       |     |                       |            |     |
|   |                |       |     |                       |            |     |

The object was to find out whether it was possible to replace the emulsifier and gluten additions used in baking with the enzyme composition in question.

Prior to the test bake, the enzyme composition was mixed with a small amount of wheat flour to form a so called baking pre-mixture. This pre-mixture was added at the beginning of dough mixing in such an amount that the enzymes were added at the dosages given in Table 6 per kg of flour. With this dosage, a white bread dough and a French bread dough were prepared. During the baking, the pre-mixture containing the enzyme additions was mixed with the flour prior to the addition of water.

The carrier in the pre-mixture may also consist of other ingredients than white flour, such as other flour, dry milk, sugar, fat or a mixture containing these ingredients. The possible carrier may also be a baking additive (such as an emulsifier) or an additive mixture containing baking ingredients and additives.

In addition to a normal baking test, a so called retarded baking test was carried out on the French bread dough, in which a dough piece in the form of a long loaf was kept in a refrigerator for 18 h, and the product was baked in the morning following the dough preparation. White bread was prepared using the straight dough process.

The ingredients and baking conditions were as follows:

1) Formula (amounts (g) calculated per one liquid litre of the dough)

25

30

15

|               | French<br>bread        | White bread                              |
|---------------|------------------------|--|
| Wheat flour   | 1740                   | see long loaf formula (no vegetable oil) |
| Gluten        | 13                     |  |
| Yeast         | 100                    |  |
| Salt          | 28                     |  |
| Water         | 1,000                  |  |
| Lecimax 2000  | 28                     |  |
| Vegetable oil | 19<br>29 <del>28</del> |  |

#### 2) Process

|            |                   | French bread                 | White bread                               | _ |
|------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
|            | Mixing (min)      | 17                           | 12 (DIOSNA)                               | _ |
| ±0         | Temperature (°C)  | 23                           | 27  |   |
|            | Floor time (min)  | 2-3                          | approx. 30                                |   |
|            | Moulding          | First moulding               | First moulding (BENIER)                   |   |
|            | Floor time (min)  | 10                           | 10  |   |
| <b>∹</b> 5 | Final moulding    | Glimek                       | Glimek                                    |   |
| -5         | Refrigerator (h)  | 18 (part into direct baking) | direct baking                             |   |
|            | Proof (* C, %) 32 |                              | 30, 60%, 61 min                           |   |
|            | Baking            | Stick oven (RADIONAL)        | rotary grate 25 min (WERNER & PFLEIDERER) |   |
|            |                   |                              |   |   |

In baking trials with the enzyme additions, gluten and Lecimax 2000 were replaced with the defined enzyme-flour pre-mixture.

The baking results are shown in Table 7.

Table 7

| Product  | Volume<br>normal baking |                       | Softness<br>(one day) |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| FRENCH BREAD                                   |                         |                       | · -,                  |
| Normal formula<br>Enzyme comp.<br>Difference % | 1080<br>1250<br>(+16)   | 1060<br>1053<br>(± 0) |                       |
| WHITE BREAD                                    |                         |                       |                       |
| Normal formul<br>Enzyme comp.<br>Difference %  | 1855<br>1880<br>(+1.5)  |                       | 126<br>108<br>(-17)   |

20

25

| Sensory ev                       | aluation of the French bi                 | read and white bread bakes                               |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
|                                  | Normal formula                            | Enzyme composition                                       |
| Dough after mixing               | Rather weak                               | Velvety, strong  |
| Dough<br>handling<br>properties  | Slighty sticky                            | Dry surface, good<br>machinability                       |
| Process<br>tolerance of<br>dough | Weak dough after proofing                 | Maintains well round profile at different process stages |
| Crust                            | Uneven texture and colour, flattish shape | Crust very uniform, round shape                          |
| Bread crumb                      | Slighty open grain                        | Uniform  |

35

30

The results also from the bakery-scale test bake show that the white dough prepared with the addition of the enzyme composition was softer and more velvety after mixing than the dough prepared with the emulsifier and gluten addition. During moulding, the surface of the dough felt drier, which improved its machinability. During and after proofing, the dough pieces made of the dough with the enzyme additions had a greater height and exhibited a markedly better proof tolerance than the dough pieces made of the dough with the emulsifier and gluten addition. Differences observed during the baking process in the properties of the doughs manifested themselves in the final bakery products as improved appearance, i.e., the white bread and the French bread prepared with the enzyme additions had a more uniform surface and were more regularly round in shape. The test bake showed that by means of the enzyme composition the processability of the doughs could be improved and the final product had improved appearance and better crumb texture as compared with the bake using an emulsifier and gluten addition.

45

50

#### Example 5

Baking tests were carried out so as to find out whether it was possible to replace the bromate and/or diacetyl tartaric acid esters of the mono- and diglycerides of fatty acids (DATA esters) used as additives in baking with the enzyme composition of the invention in combination with lecithin. The following combinations (erizyme composition/lecithin) were used in the tests:

|   | Combination A                     | Combination B                     |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| GO Cell_hemicell. Fungal protease ("Fungal Protease", manuf. Biocon, Ireland) Lecithin, Emulpur N | 2.5 mg/kg<br>25 "<br>30 "<br>0.4% | 2.5 mg/kg<br>35 "<br>30 "<br>0.4% |

The amount of the added enzyme composition is given in mg per kg of flour and the amount of added lecithin in % baked on flour.

The amounts of added cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic enzyme and glucose oxidase as enzyme activities per kg of flour were as follows:

|                                | Added enzyme activities U/kg |             |            |            |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
|                                | Xyl.                         | FP          | CMC        | GO         |
| Combination A<br>Combination B | 675<br>945                   | 9.5<br>13.3 | 233<br>326 | 263<br>263 |

In the test bakes, white pan bread was prepared using the Chorleywood Bread Process. The ingredients and baking conditions were as follows:

#### Basic formula:

5

15

30

35

**-:**5

50

|  | % on the weight of flour |
|--|--------------------------|
| Flour  | 100                      |
| Compressed yeast                               | 2.5                      |
| Salt   | 1.8                      |
| Water - determ, with a 10 min extrusion method | 57.5 g                   |
| Fat  | 0.7                      |
| Ascorbic acid                                  | 0.003                    |
| Potassium bromate                              | 0.0045                   |

The alpha-amylases activity of the flour adjusted to 83 FU by adding fungal alpha-amylase.

Baking process:

| Mixing machine     | Tweedy '35'                                  |
|--------------------|--|
| Mixing efficiency  | 11 Wh/kg                                     |
| Pressure           | Atmospheric                                  |
| Dough temperature  | 30.5 ± 1 ° C                                 |
| Scaling            | Manually into 908 g                          |
| First moulding     | Into a ball with a conical moulder           |
| First proof        | 6 min at room temp.                          |
| Final moulding     | "Four-piece" technique (R 9, W 15.5, P 0.25) |
| Pan size           | 250 mm x 122 mm, height 125 mm               |
| Shape              | Lidded                                       |
| Proof conditions   | 43°C, suitable humidity to prevent skinning  |
| Proof height       | 11 cm  |
| Baking temperature | 244 °C                                       |
| Type of oven       | Gas-fired oven                               |
| Baking time        | 30 min                                       |
| Baking humidity    | No steam injection                           |

With the formula described above, one prepared (1) a basic dough, (2) a basic dough without bromate, (3) a basic dough without bromate and DATA ester, (4) a basic dough without bromate and DATA ester but with the addition of the combination A of the enzyme composition of the invention and lecithin, and (5) a basic dough without bromate and DATA ester but with the addition of the combination B of the enzym composition of the invention and lecithin. 5,000 g of flour was used in each dough batch.

No substantial differences were observed in the consistencies of the different doughs. The doughs were measured for the required mixing time (i.e. time required for the dough to consume 11 Wh/kg) and proof time, and the finished product for its loaf volume, Hunterlab Y-value (descriptive of the crumb colour, the higher the Y-value, the lighter the crumb colour), and the crumb score. The results are shown in Table 8.

Table 8

| 30 | ı |
|----|---|
|    |   |
|    |   |

35

10

15

|                                     | Mixing<br>time (s) | Proof time<br>(min) | Loaf<br>volume<br>(ml) | Hunterlab<br>Y-value | Crumb score<br>(max. 10) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) Basic dough                     | 120                | 51                  | 3013                   | 54.1                 | 8.0                      |
| (2) No bromate                      | 124                | 48                  | 2914                   | 53.5                 | 5.5                      |
| (3) No bromate, no DATA ester       | 123                | 50                  | 2594                   | 50.5                 | 2.0                      |
| (4) No bromate, no DATA ester + (A) | 140                | 50                  | 2925                   | 49.3                 | 4.0                      |
| (5) No bromate. no DATA ester + (B) | 131                | 50                  | 2953                   | 51.2                 | 5.0                      |

40

The proof time was of the same order for all doughs (with the exception of dough (2)). As compared with the basic dough, the mixing time increased to some extent when the enzyme composition of the invention and lecithin were added to the dough. The addition of the enzyme composition and lecithin increased the loaf volume as compared with a product which did not contain bromate or DATA ester. No substantial differences were found in the crumb colour when comparing the product containing the enzyme composition of the invention and lecithin with a product prepared from the basic dough, which did not contain bromate and DATA ester. The crumb score was substantially better with the products (4) and (5) of the invention than with the product (3), which did not contain bromate and DATA ester. To sum up, it appears that the replacement of bromate and DATA ester with the enzyme composition of the invention and lecithin resulted in a marked improvement over products prepared from the basic dough containing no bromate and no DATA ester.

#### Example 6

Corresponding test bakes as above in Example 5 were carried out for replacing bromate and monoglycerides with the enzyme composition of the invention and lecithin except that the Sponge and

EP 0 338 452 A1

Dough technique was used as a baking process. The following combinations were used in the tests:

|    |   |           | Combination |         |  |  |
|----|---|-----------|-------------|---------|--|--|
| 5  |   | С         | D           | E       |  |  |
|    | GO  | 1.0 mg/kg | 2 mg/kg     | 3 mg/kg |  |  |
|    | Cell_hemicell.  | 15 "      | 15 "        | 30 "    |  |  |
|    | Fungal protease ("Fungal Protease", manuf. Biocon Ireland)        | 45 "      | 45 "        | 30 "    |  |  |
|    | Fungal alpha-amyl. ("Sal-Conc. 90 000", manuf. Shin Nihon, Japan) | 5 "       | 5 *         | 5 "     |  |  |
| 10 | Lecithin, Emulpur N   | 0.4%      | 0.4%        | 0.4%    |  |  |

The added amounts of the cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic enzymes and glucose oxidase as enzyme activities per kg of flour were as follows:

|   | Added enzyme activities U/kg |                    |                   |                   |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|   | Xyl.                         | FP                 | СМС               | GO                |
| Combination C Combination D Combination E | 405<br>405<br>810            | 5.7<br>5.7<br>11.4 | 140<br>140<br>280 | 105<br>210<br>315 |

Ingredients and baking conditions used in the baking tests were as follows:

White pan bread, preparation of basic dough

15

29

| 30        | Batch | size | Ingredients                         |
|-----------|-------|------|-------------------------------------|
|           | (g)   | (g)  |                                     |
| 35        |       |      | Sponge                              |
|           |       |      | White flour (protein content 11.72% |
|           | 700   | 2100 | determined per 14 % flour moisture) |
|           |       |      | "Arkady (RKD)" mineral yeast food,  |
| 40        |       |      | manuf. Cainfood Ind.; 2.8 g of      |
|           | 3     | 9    | bromate/kg                          |
|           | 25    | 75   | Compressed yeast                    |
| <b>45</b> | 420   | 1260 | Water                               |
|           |       |      | Dough                               |
|           |       |      | White flour (protein content 11.72% |
| 50        | 300   | 900  | determined per 14% flour moisture)  |

|    | 60   | 180  | Sugar                           |
|----|------|------|---------------------------------|
|    | 20   | 60   | Nonfat dry milk                 |
| _  | 20   | 60   | Salt                            |
| 5  | 5    | 15   | Bread softener (monoglycerides) |
|    | 30   | 90   | All-purpose shortening          |
|    | 180  | 540  | Water                           |
| 10 | 1763 | 5289 | Total dough weight              |

| 15 |                      |                      | Process  |
|----|----------------------|----------------------|--|
|    |                      |                      | Hobert A-200 mixer<br>McDuffee 20 Qt. dough bowl   |
|    |                      |                      | Sponge:  |
| 20 | 24-25<br>3.25        | 24-25<br>3.75        | temperature (°C)<br>fermentation time (h) at 29°C  |
|    |                      |                      | Dough:   |
| 25 | 25.5-26.5<br>5<br>10 | 25.5-26.5<br>9<br>10 | Temperature (°C) Mixing time (min) with med. speed Floor time (min)                            |
|    | 526                  | 526                  | Scaling weight (g)   |
| 30 | 100± 1mm<br>16<br>1  | 100± 1mm<br>16<br>1  | Average proof height  Baking time (min) at about 230 ° C  Cooling time at room temperature (h) |
|    |                      | <del></del>          |  |

The baking test results are given in Tables 9-12.

Crumb softness (given in the tables) has been defined using the AACC standard method 74-09 (force required to compress two slices of bread (25 mm) with a 36 mm diameter flat disk plunger by 6.2 mm (25%) at a compression rate of 100 mm/min); the smaller the value, the softer the product.

Table 9

| 5  | Eread qualities                      | Max<br>score | Basic<br>dough | 0.5 %<br>"GMS-90" | 1 % C                   | 1 % D                   |
|----|--------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|    | External qualities:                  | 30           |                |                   |                         |                         |
|    | Volume                               | 10           | 8.5            | 9                 | 9.25                    | 9.5                     |
|    | Symmetry                             | 5            | 4.75           | 4.5               | 4.5                     | 4.25                    |
| 10 | Crust colour                         | 10           | 8              | 8                 | 8                       | 8                       |
|    | "Break & Shred"                      | 5            | 4.75           | 4.5               | 4.5                     | 4.25                    |
|    | Internal qualities:                  | 70           |                |                   |                         |                         |
|    | Grain                                | 10           | 8              | 8                 | 8                       | 8                       |
|    | Texture                              | 15           | 13.25          | 13.25             | 13.25                   | 13                      |
| 15 | Colour                               | 10           | 9              | 9                 | 9                       | 9                       |
|    | Aroma                                | 10           | 9              | 9                 | 9                       | 9                       |
|    | Taste                                | 15           | 13             | 13                | 13                      | 13                      |
|    | Mouth feel                           | 10           | 9              | 9                 | 9                       | 9                       |
|    | Total score                          | 100          | 87.25          | 87.25             | 87.5                    | 87                      |
| 20 | Proof height (mm)                    | 1            | 100            | 99.3              | 100.3                   | 100.3                   |
|    | Proof time (min)                     | }            | 61             | 60                | . 65                    | 58                      |
|    | Specific volume (cm <sup>3</sup> /g) | l            | 5.50           | 5.60              | 5.65                    | 5.70                    |
|    | Crumb softness (3 days)              |              | 318 ± 6        | 274 ± 5           | 2 <b>5</b> 4 ± 5        | 288 ± 6                 |
| 35 | Dough consistency                    |              |                |                   | more relaxed at moulder | slighly softer at mixer |

<sup>\*\*</sup>crumb softener, manuf. Breddo, USA, contains 21 % of monoglycerides, whereby 0.5 % GMS-90 is equivalent to an addition of 1.05 g of monoglycerides per kg of flour

30

35

**=**0

<u>-:</u>5

50

<sup>-</sup> see Table 2

|                                      |         |          |                   | Table 10  |                     |          |                    |           |                   |
|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Bread qualities                      | Max     | 0.3% Ark | 0.3% Arkady(RKD)* | No Arkady | No Arkady(RKD) 0.5% | No Arkad | No Arkady(RKD) No  | No Arkady | No Arkady(RKD) No |
|                                      | score   | 0.5% G   | 0.5% GMS-90"      | GMS       | GMS-90"             | GMS-9(   | GMS-90 1 % C       | GMS-90    | GMS-90 1 % D      |
|                                      |         | Control  | 20 sec            | Control   | 20 sec              | Control  | 20 sec             | Control   | 20 sec            |
|                                      |         |          | vibration         |           | vibration           |          | vibration          |           | vibration         |
| External qualities:                  | 30      |          |                   |           |                     |          |                    |           |                   |
| Volume                               | 2       | 8.75     | 6.5               | .8.5      | 1.25                | 6        | 2.25               | 8.25      | 4                 |
| Symmetry                             | 5       | 4.25     | 3.75              | 4         | 1.5                 | 4        | 1.75               | 4         | 2.75              |
| Crust colour                         | 01      | 80       | 7                 | 89        | 2                   | 89       | ß                  | 89        | 5.5               |
| "Break & Shred"                      | ၁       | 4.25     | 4                 | 4         |                     | 4.25     | <del>.</del><br>3. | 4.25      | 2                 |
| Internal qualities:                  | 20      |          |                   |           |                     |          |                    |           |                   |
| Grain                                | 01      | 89       | 7.25              | 9         | 5.75                | 6.75     | 5.75               | 6.75      | 6.25              |
| Texture                              | 15      | 53       | 12                | =         | 10.5                | 11.5     | 11.25              | 11.5      | 11.5              |
| Colour                               | 0       | 6        | 8.75              | 8.5       | 8.25                | 8.5      | 8.25               | 8.5       | 8.5               |
| Aroma                                | 0       | 8.75     | 8.75              | <b>o</b>  | 6                   | 8.75     | 8.75               | 8.75      | 8.5               |
| Taste                                | 15      | 12.75    | 12.75             | 12.75     | 12.75               | 12.75    | 12.75              | 12.75     | 12.75             |
| Mouth feet                           | 0       | G        | 6                 | 8.75      | 8.75                | 8.75     | 8.75               | o         | 8.75              |
| Total score                          | 100     | 85.75    | 79.75             | 80.5      | 63.75               | 82.25    | 99                 | 81.75     | 70.5              |
| Proof height (mm)                    |         | 99.9     | 99.3              | 100.7     | 100.3               | 100.3    | 100.3              | 100       | 100               |
| Proof time (min)                     |         |          | 56                | 9         | 65                  | 9        | 65.                | 9         | 64                |
| Specific volume (cm <sup>3</sup> /g) |         | 5.38     | 4.91              | 5.31      | 3.86                | 5.45     | 4.06               | 5.28      | 4.41              |
| Crumb softness (1 day)               |         | 171      | 171 ± 1           | 201       | 201 ± 3             | 174      | 174 ± 3            | 167       | 167 ± 2           |
| Crumb softness (5 days)              | -       | 338      | 335 ± 4           | 352       | 352 ± 8             | 349      | 349 ± 6            | 334       | 334 ± 4           |
| chambel to make A O of tradesings "  | chamard |          |                   |           |                     |          |                    |           |                   |

<sup>\*</sup> equivalent to 8.4 ppm of bromate \* equivalent to 1.05 g of monoglycerides/kg of flour

Table 11

| 5  | Bread qualities                      | Max<br>score | 0.3% Arkad<br>sponge 0.5 | dy(RKD)* in<br>% GMS-90* | 0.3% Arkady<br>to dough 0.5 |                     | 1       | E No<br>S-90        |
|----|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|
|    |                                      |              | Control                  | 20 sec<br>vibration      | Control                     | 20 sec<br>vibration | Control | 20 sec<br>vibration |
| 10 | External qualities:                  | 30           |                          |                          |                             |                     |         |                     |
| 10 | Valume                               | 10           | 9.25                     | 7.5                      | 9.25                        | 4.5                 | 9       | 5                   |
|    | Symmetry                             | 5            | 4.25                     | 4                        | 4.25                        | 3.5                 | 4.25    | 3.5                 |
|    | Crust colour                         | 10           | 8                        | 7.5                      | 8                           | 6.5                 | 8       | 6.5                 |
|    | Break & Shred                        | 5            | 4.5                      | 4                        | 4.5                         | 3.75                | 4.75    | 3.5                 |
| 15 | Internal qualities:                  | 70           |                          |                          |                             |                     |         |                     |
| 13 | Grain                                | 10           | 8                        | 7.75                     | 7:5                         | 7.5                 | 7.5     | 7.5                 |
|    | Texture                              | 15           | 13                       | 12.5                     | 12.5                        | 12.5                | 13      | 12.25               |
|    | Crumb colour .                       | 10           | 9                        | 9                        | 9                           | 9                   | 8.75    | 8.75                |
|    | Aroma                                | 10           | 9                        | 9                        | 8.75                        | 8.75                | 9       | 9                   |
| 20 | Taste                                | 15           | 13                       | 13                       | 13                          | 13                  | 13      | 13                  |
| -, | Mouth feel                           | 10           | 9                        | 9                        | 9                           | 9                   | 9       | 9                   |
|    | Total score                          | 100          | 87                       | 83.25                    | 85.75                       | 78                  | 86.25   | 78                  |
|    | Proof height (mm)                    |              | 99.4                     | 99.3                     | 100                         | 100                 | 99.9    | 100                 |
|    | Proof time (min)                     |              | 5                        | 8                        | 6                           | 2                   | 6       | 33                  |
| 25 | Specific volume (cm <sup>3</sup> ·g) |              | 5.49                     | 5.10                     | 5.47                        | 4.51                | 5.41    | 4.63                |

<sup>\*</sup> equivalent to 8.4 ppm of bromate

30

35

40

**-:**5

50

Table 12

|                   |          | Crumb softness                  |       |        |
|-------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Form              | ulation  | Combination of to the invention | Brea  | d age  |
| Arkady<br>(RKD) % | GMS-90 % |                                 | 1 day | 5 days |
| 0.3*              | 0.5      | -                               | 171±1 | 335±4  |
| -                 | 0.5~     | -                               | 201±3 | 352±8  |
| -                 | -        | 134                             | 174±3 | 349±6  |
| -                 | -        | 136                             | 167±2 | 334±4  |
| 0.3*              | 0.5**    | •                               | 147±4 | 294±5  |
| 0.3ª*             | 0.5      | •                               | 145±4 | 293±7  |
| -                 | -        | 143                             | 146±3 | 308±5  |

a bromate added to dough instead of sponge

Table 9 gives results from baking tests on the replacement of an emulsifier (monoglycerides) with the combination of the invention. Monoglycerides (bread softener GMS-90) or the combination C and D of the invention were added to the basic dough (containing bromate (Arkady (RKD)) added in the sponge). It appears from the table that the enzyme composition of the invention in combination with lecithin can replace monoglycerides used as emulsifier (cf. the total score obtained by the breads). The loaf volume increased slightly when using the combination of the invention as compared with bread mad from the basic dough alone, and the other properties were substantially of the same order. In addition, the use of the

equivalent to 1.05 g of monoglycerides:kg of flour

<sup>\*</sup> equivalent to 8.4 ppm of bromate

<sup>-</sup> equivalent to 1.05 g of monoglycerides per kg of flour

combination of the invention gave slightly softer bread as compared with bread made from the basic dough with the addition of monoglycerides.

Table 10 shows results from baking tests carried out for studying the replacement of bromate with the combinations C and D of the invention. The first dough contained 8.4 ppm of bromate (0.3% Arkady (RKD)) added to the sponge, and 0.11% of monoglycerides (0.5% GMS-90). The second dough contained 0.11% of monoglycerides (0.5% GMS-90) but no bromate. The third dough contained the combination C of the invention without bromate and monoglycerides. Finally, the fourth dough contained the combination D of the invention, similarly without bromate and monoglycerides. In addition, each dough underwent a vibration test of 20 seconds for the assessment of the strength of the dough.

When the combination C of the invention was used, the loaf volume obtained was as good as that obtained with the control containing bromate. No major deficiencies were observed in the external properties of the loaf when bromate was omitted. The proof time, however, was slightly longer with doughs prepared without bromate. As to the test results from the vibration test, the combination D in particular was able to eliminate the negative effects of vibration.

Table 11 shows the results from baking tests carried out for studying the replacement of bromate with the combination E of the invention. Three doughs were prepared of which the first dough contained 8.4 ppm of bromate (0.3 Arkady (RKD)) added to the sponge, and 0.11% of monoglycerides (0.5% GMS-90); the second dough contained 8.4 ppm of bromate (0.3% Arkady (RKD)) added to the dough, and 0.11% of monoglycerides (0.5% GMS-90); and the third dough contained the combination E of the invention without bromate and monoglycerides. It appears from the results that the dough prepared by means of the combination of the invention behaved substantially similarly as the control dough, in which the bromate had been added to the dough instead of the sponge.

Table 12 shows the results from baking tests carried out for comparing the effect of monoglycerides (possibly in combination with bromate) and that of the combinations C, D and E of the invention on the crumb softness when the product was stored. It appears from the results that the combinations C and D of the invention affected the crumb softness as favourably as monoglycerides conventionally used for the purpose (with five days old loaves). The stage at which bromate was added did not affect the ageing of the bread. Bread made with the combination E of the invention was slightly softer than the control after storage for five days.

### Example 7

30

10

A test bake was carried out for studying further the effects of a simultaneous addition of the enzyme composition optimized for baking purposes and lecithin on white baking. Previous tests have not shown that the use of this enzyme composition could increase the process resistance and loaf volume and improve the anti-staling properties of the product, for instance. It was the object of the test to find out whether lecithin in combination with the enzyme composition could further improve the baking properties of white dough so that qualitatively better bakery products could be obtained.

The product to be baked was a white roll. The qualitative properties of the white flour used in the bake were as follows:

| Protein cont<br>Ash content<br>Falling num<br>Amylogram |            | 10.9% (d.s.)<br>0.79%<br>292<br>230 B.U/79 C |
|---|------------|--|
| Amylogram Add. of asce                                  | orbic acid | 15 ppm                                       |

The test bake was carried out with the following formula and process parametres:

Basic formula:

55

45

| White flour | 1,000 g |
|-------------|---------|
| Yeast       | 35 g    |
| Salt        | 20 g    |
| Sugar       | 20 g    |
| Water       | 620 g   |
| Total       | 1,695 g |

10 Process:

Dough mixing
Dough temperature
Scaling and first moulding
First proof time
Proofing
Baking time

5 min (Kemper spiral mixer, speed 2)
27 ° C
60 g (Rekord teiler)
10 min
40 min/75% rH, 35 ° C
18 min/220 ° C

20

15

The following doughs were prepared: (1) a basic dough with the basic formula. (2) a basic dough with the addition of lecithin, (3) a basic dough with the enzyme addition according to the invention, and (4) a basic dough with the addition of lecithin and enzyme.

The added lecithin and enzyme amounts in doughs (2), (3) and (4) were as follows:

. 25

30

|   | (2) | (3)                            | (4)                                      |
|---|-----|--------------------------------|--|
| GO Cell_hemicell: Fungal protease ("Fungal protease", manuf. Biocon, Ireland) Fungal alpha-amylase ("Sal-Conc. 90 000", manuf. Shin Nihon, Japan) Lecithin, Emulpur N |     | 1 mg/kg<br>15 "<br>45 "<br>5 " | 1 mg/kg<br>15 " `<br>45 "<br>5 "<br>0.4% |

The amounts of added enzymes is given in mg per kg of flour and the amount of added lecithin in % on flour.

The added amount of cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic enzymes and glucose oxidase as enzyme activities per kg of flour were as follows:

**40** 

45

|                    | Added | enzym | e activitie | s U/kg |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------------|--------|
|                    | Xyl.  | FP    | CMC         | GO     |
| Flours (3) and (4) | 405   | 5.7   | 140         | 105    |

The results are shown in the following table:

| _ | _ |  |
|---|---|--|
| 3 | u |  |
|   |   |  |

| Product properties                            |         | Bakir | ng series |           |
|---|---------|-------|-----------|-----------|
|   | (1)     | (2)   | (3)       | (4)       |
| Weight (g)                                    | 45      | 45    | 48        | 47        |
| Height (mm)                                   | 46      | 48    | 50        | 48        |
| Width (mm)                                    | 77      | 82    | 78        | 80        |
| Volume (ml/prod.)                             | 153     | 164   | 177       | 182       |
| Specific volume (cm <sup>3</sup> ·g)          | 3.4     | 3.6   | 3.7       | 3.9       |
| Sensory evaluation (texture:crumb properties) | satisf. | good  | good      | excellent |

55

22

<u>--</u>

The results show that the mere addition of lecithin or an enzyme composition useful in baking improves the roll baking result. Both additions increase the roll volume by 5-10% in average. As to the crumb texture, making differences can be found between products prepared with an addition of lecithin and enzymes, respectively. Lecithin gives a more even grain structure with smaller pores as compared with the enzyme composition. The addition of lecithin gives the dough a rather slack, slightly sticky texture, whereas the enzyme mixture strengthens the dough giving good handling properties. Simultaneous use of lecithin and the enzyme composition in baking clearly affects favourably the baking properties. The elastic dough has improved handling and process properties. The grain structure of the final product is more uniform and softer as compared with products prepared with a mere addition of lecithin or enzymes. In addition, the external properties of the product are more even (crust texture). The simultaneous use of lecithin and the enzyme composition simultaneously increases the bread volume by about 15% as compared with a product prepared without any additions.

Preliminary experiments have demonstrated that the enzyme composition of the invention with or without lecithin works also in doughs where higher amounts of fat and/or sugar and/or spices are present, such as in doughs for sweet goods, like cakes.

The effective amount of cellulose and hemicellulose degrading enzymes is mutually dependent on the level of each other. The levels are also dependent on the microbial source used in enzyme production. Furthermore, the effective amount of cellulose and hemicellulose (specified as xylen) degrading enzymes is dependent on the levels of other hemicellulose degrading enzyme activities.

Foregoing general discussion and experimental examples are intended to be illustrative of the present invention, and are not to be considered as limiting. Other variations within the spirit and scope of this invention are possible and will present themselves to those skilled in the art.

#### s Claims

20

- 1. A method of improving the properties of dough and the quality of the baked product, characterized by adding to the dough, dough ingredients, ingredient mixture or dough additives or additive mixture an enzyme preparation comprising hemicellulose and/or cellulose degrading enzymes and glucose oxidase, or sulphydryl oxidase and glucose oxidase.
- 2. A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the enzyme preparation is added in an amount of about 0-50,000 units of hemicellulase; about 0-50,000 units of cellulase; about 5-2,500 units of glucose oxidase; and about 0-800 units of sulphydryl oxidase, calculated per kg of flour.
- 3. A method according to claim 2, characterized in that the enzyme preparation is added in an amount of 10-10,000 units of hemicellulase; 10-10,000 units of cellulase; 35-1,000 units of glucose oxidase; and 0-300 units of sulphydryl oxidase, calculated per kg of flour.
  - 4. A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the dough is prepared using a straight dough process, a sour dough process, the Chorleywood Bread Process or the Sponge and Dough process.
    - 5. A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the baked product is bread.
    - 6. A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the baked products are sweet goods.
  - 7. A method according to any of the preceding claims, characterized in that the dough additive or additive mixture contains lecithin.
  - 8. A method according to claim 6, characterized in that lecithin is used in an amount of 0.1-1.4%, preferably 0.2-0.8%, specified as 100% lecithin, calculated on the flour.
  - An enzyme preparation useful in baking, characterized in that it comprises hemicellulose and/or cellulose degrading enzymes and glucose oxidase, or glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase.
  - 10. A pre-mixture useful in baking, characterized in that it comprises hemicellulose and/or cellulose degrading enzymes and glucose oxidase, or glucose oxidase and sulphydryl oxidase, as mixed with a carrier.
- 11. A pre-mixture according to claim 8, characterized in that the carrier is flour, dry milk, sugar, fat or their mixture or a baking additive or additive mixture.
- 12. A pre-mixture according to claim 9, characterized in that the carrier is a baking additive or additive mixture containing lecithin.

# EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

EP 89 10 6729

| Category  Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages  D,X  US-A-2 783 150 (H.G. LUTHER)  * Column 1, line 40 - column 2, line 11; column 2, line 45 - column 3, line 5; claim 1  A  GB-A-1 216 556 (DELMAR CHEMICALS)  * Page 1, line 60 - page 3, line 26; examples 1-5; claims 1-7,9-17  A  EP-A-0 132 289 (KYOWA HAKKO KOGYO CO., LTD)  * Page 1, line 25 - page 2, line 11; page 3, lines 1-33; examples 1-5; claims 1,4,6  A  CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 71, no. 11, 24th November 1969, pages 225-226, abstract no. 100605k, Columbus, Ohio, US; M.V. POLYAK et al.: "Glucose oxidase as a conditioner in bread baking", & FERMENTY MED., PISHCH. PROM. SEL. KHOZ. 1968, 155-7 | Relevant to claim  1-6,9- 11  1-6,9- 11  1-5,9- 11                  | CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.4)  A 21 D 8/04  TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)  A 21 D |
|---|---|---|
| D,X US-A-2 783 150 (H.G. LUTHER)  * Column 1, line 40 - column 2, line 11; column 2, line 45 - column 3, line 5; claim 1 *  A GB-A-1 216 556 (DELMAR CHEMICALS)  * Page 1, line 60 - page 3, line 26; examples 1-5; claims 1-7,9-17 *  A EP-A-0 132 289 (KYOWA HAKKO KOGYO CO., LTD)  * Page 1, line 25 - page 2, line 11; page 3, lines 1-33; examples 1-5; claims 1,4,6 *  A CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 71, no. 11, 24th November 1969, pages 225-226, abstract no. 100605k, Columbus, Ohio, US; M.V. POLYAK et al.: "Glucose oxidase as a conditioner in bread baking", & FERMENTY MED., PISHCH. PROM.   | 1-6,9-<br>11<br>1-6,9-<br>11<br>1-5,9-<br>11                        | APPLICATION (Int. Cl.4)  A 21 D 8/04  TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)                               |
| * Column 1, line 40 - column 2, line 11; column 2, line 45 - column 3, line 5; claim 1 *  A GB-A-1 216 556 (DELMAR CHEMICALS) * Page 1, line 60 - page 3, line 26; examples 1-5; claims 1-7,9-17 *   A EP-A-0 132 289 (KYOWA HAKKO KOGYO CO., LTD) * Page 1, line 25 - page 2, line 11; page 3, lines 1-33; examples 1-5; claims 1,4,6 *   A CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 71, no. 11, 24th November 1969, pages 225-226, abstract no. 100605k, Columbus, Ohio, US; M.V. POLYAK et al.: "Glucose oxidase as a conditioner in bread baking", & FERMENTY MED., PISHCH. PROM.   | 1-6,9-<br>11<br>1-5,9-<br>11  | TECHNICAL FIELDS<br>SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)  |
| * Page 1, line 60 - page 3, line 26; examples 1-5; claims 1-7,9-17 *  A EP-A-0 132 289 (KYOWA HAKKO KOGYO CO., LTD)  * Page 1, line 25 - page 2, line 11; page 3, lines 1-33; examples 1-5; claims 1,4,6 *  A CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 71, no. 11, 24th November 1969, pages 225-226, abstract no. 100605k, Columbus, Ohio, US; M.V. POLYAK et al.: "Glucose oxidase as a conditioner in bread baking", & FERMENTY MED., PISHCH. PROM.  | 11<br>1-5,9-<br>11<br>1-5,9-  | SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)  |
| LTD)  * Page 1, line 25 - page 2, line 11; page 3, lines 1-33; examples 1-5; claims 1,4,6 *  A CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 71, no. 11, 24th November 1969, pages 225-226, abstract no. 100605k, Columbus, Ohio, US; M.V. POLYAK et al.: "Glucose oxidase as a conditioner in bread baking", & FERMENTY MED., PISHCH. PROM.   | 1-5,9-  | SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)  |
| 24th November 1969, pages 225-226, abstract no. 100605k, Columbus, Ohio, US; M.V. POLYAK et al.: "Glucose oxidase as a conditioner in bread baking", & FERMENTY MED., PISHCH. PROM.   | 1   | SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)  |
| SEL. KHOZ. 1968, 155-7  |   | SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)  |
|   |   | T .   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   | ·   |
|   |   | -   |
|   |   |   |
| The present search report has been drawn up for all claims  | 1   |   |
| Pizce of search Date of completion of the search  |   | Examiner  |
| THE HAGUE 09-06-1989  | GRO   | ENENDIJK M.S.M.   |
| CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS  X: particularly relevant if taken alone Y: particularly relevant if combined with another ducument of the same category A: technological background O: non-written disclusure  T: theory or princip E: earlier patent de after the filing c  L: document cited L: document cited C: momber of the same category  | ocument, but put<br>date<br>in the application<br>for other reasons | blished on, or<br>on<br>s   |